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## Introduction

A citation with 🡪 after-words means that the articles that come after the arrow are from the paper that appears before the arrow.

#### Current research

In the current research we will compare two measures of unconscious processing: response time given via a keyboard and reaching area derived from 3D motion tracking of reaching movements. Subjects will perform a semantic classification task (does the target describe an "natural" / "artificial" item) in which the target word will be preceded by an unconscious prime that can be congruent / incongruent to the target's category. Next, the subject will be asked to recognize the prime in a two forced choices task, and finally they will rate the prime's visibility in a PAS scale (from 1 for "Didn't see anything" to 4 for "Saw the prime clearly"). In an unreported pilot study we found out subjects have a hard time providing correct answers in the short time constraints of the task, thus the experiment will be comprised of two sessions in two consecutive days. The first day includes only a training session without primes and its purpose is to allow subjects to hasten their responses in the reaching task while keeping their accuracy high. The second day includes a short training and a test session.

Since our expected effect size isn't large and our variability is (according to our pilots, so I need to check this is the case in our pilots) we will be using a withing subject design, so that the unconscious effect won't be shadowed by individual differences.

To avoid practice effect, the order of sessions will be counterbalanced between subjects.

You need to mention this is a replication of Deheane's study.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

We used the previous studies results and compared their keyboard response time measure and their trajectories measures.

Almeida et al. – 2014:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure** | **Parameter** | **Contrast type** | **Mean difference**  **Congruent – incongruent**  **Mean (SEM)** | **t-value** | **Cohen's dz** |
| Keyboard | RT (ms) |  | 9 (3) | 2.86 | 0.53 |
| Reach | AUC (mm2) |  | 2.3 (1.4) | 2.334 | 0.38 |
| Keyboard | RT (ms) |  | 16 (6) | 2.55 | 0.47 |
| Reach | AUC (mm2) |  | 3 (1.3) | 2.252 | 0.37 |
| Keyboard | RT (ms) |  | 13 (6) | 2.06 | 0.38 |
| Reach | AUC (mm2) |  | 2.4 (1.2) | 2.4 | 0.39 |

* t=tool, a=animal, .=oblong, \_=elongated
* Cohen's dz calculated using t-value.
* Keyboard RT – N=29
  + Con-incon 1: mean diff (SEM of diff), 9ms (3), t = 2.86,

Cohen's dz = 0.53

* + Con-incon 2: 13ms (6), t = 2.06,

Cohen's dz = 0.38

* + Con-incon 3: 16ms (6), t = 2.55,

Cohen's dz = 0.47

* AUC – N=37
  + Incon-con 1: 2.3mm2 (1.4), t = 2.334,

Cohen's dz = 0.38

* + Incon-con 3: 2.4 mm2 (1.2), t = 2.4,

Cohen's dz = 0.39

* + Incon-con 2: 3mm2 (1.3), t = 2.252,

Cohen's dz = 0.37

Cressman et al. – 2007:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure** | **Parameter** | **Congruent Mean (SE)** | **Incongruent**  **Mean (SE)** | **Cohen's dav** | **Hedge's gav** |
| Keyboard | RT (ms) | 333.2 (9.5) | 389.9 (7.1) | 2.16 | 2.06 |
| Motion tracking | MT (ms) | 515 (12.1) | 571.7 (10.9) | 1.53 | 1.47 |
| Motion tracking | Correcting movement onset (ms) | 277.3 (4.4) | 333 (4.6) | 3.91 | 3.74 |
| Motion tracking | Correcting movement length (mm) | 70 (SD=15.3) | 79.2 (SD=14.9) | 0.6 | 0.58 |
| Motion tracking | Correcting movement velocity (mm/s) | 475.7 (SD=38.9) | 533.3 (SD = 64.8) | 1.11 | 1.06 |

* Keyboard RT – Cohen's dav = 2.16, Hedge's gav = 2.06
  + Congruent: mean (SE), 333.2ms (9.5)
  + Incongruent: 389.9ms (7.1)
* Reach MT – Cohen's dav = 1.53, Hedge's gav = 1.47
  + Congruent: 515ms (12.1)
  + Incongruent: 571.7ms (10.9)
* Correcting mvmnt onset – Cohen's dav = 3.91, Hedge's gav = 3.74
  + Congruent: 277.3ms (4.4)
  + Incongruent: 333ms (4.6)
* Correcting mvmnt length – Cohen's dav = 0.6 , Hedge's gav = 0.58
  + Congruent: 70mm (SD=15.3)
  + Incongruent: 79.2mm (SD=14.9)
* Correcting mvmnt velocity – Cohen's dav = 1.11, Hedge's gav = 1.06
  + Congruent: 475.7 mm/s (SD = 38.9)
  + Incongruent: 533.3 mm/s (SD = 64.8)

~~Indeed it shows that trajectory measures bring about a greater effect size.~~

~~This supports our hypothesis.~~

@@ When writing your thesis @@ @@ Explain about our pilot studies, how did we start, what did we change in each one and why, how does it help us produce greater effects @@

### Hypothesis

In-line with previous comparisons between motion tracking and keyboard responses, we expect motion tracking to detect a greater incongruency effect (when comparing the effect size of both measures).

## Methods

### Design

IV:

1. Congruency: A within subject variable of two levels:
   1. Congruent: prime and target are the same word.
   2. Incongruent: Prime and target are from a different semantic category and do not share letters in common locations.
2. Item type: A within subject variable of two levels:
   1. Natural: target and / or prime represent a natural item (e.g. "Plant", "Cloud").
   2. Artificial: target and / or prime represent an artificial product (e.g. "Radio", "Phone").
3. Response measure: A between subject variable of two levels:
   1. Motion tracking: Subjects choose the correct answer on by reaching and touching it on the screen.
   2. Key press response: Subjects choose the answer on the left / right half of the screen by pressing "F" / "J" accordingly.

DP:

1. Area under the curve (AUC): Area between the actual trajectory and the optimal path (a straight line connecting the start and end points). Area central to the optimal path is considered positive, while area lateral to it is considered negative. The area is evaluated for each trial separately.
2. Reach area: Area between the average path to a left target and an average path to a right target in a single condition (congruent / incongruent). Path is averaged across trials in a single condition.
3. Response time: The time it takes a subject to classify the target as natural / artificial, measured from target display until keyboard response.
4. MAD @@@@@@@@@@@@describe it@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
5. X-position @@@@@@@@@@ describe it @@@@@@@@@@@@@@

### Planned sample

All subjects will be right-handed, native Hebrew speakers who aren't color blind have normal or corrected-to-normal vision using contact lenses (the reflection on glasses could create problems with the motion tracking system). Only subjects declaring that they have no neurological, attentional, or mental disorders, and not taking psychiatric medicines, will be included.

Data will be collected at Prof. Liad Mudrik's lab of high cognition in Tel-Aviv University, from students or other young adults at the ages of 18-35, in two session on two consecutive days, the first lasting 30 minutes and the second lasting 90 minutes.

Subjects will be reimbursed with course credit or cash payment.

### Sample size estimation

#### Non-parametric sample size estimation

In the correspondence with Craig "Missing frames in trajectory" he mentions about 1/4 of the subjects are disqualified:

"".

[Power analysis guide with G\*Power](https://osf.io/zqphw/)

[Power analysis guide on lab handbook](https://osf.io/zqphw/)

[Online calculator for power analysis in LMM](https://jakewestfall.shinyapps.io/crossedpower/)

[Using simulations to conduct power analysis in LMM](https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/2041-210X.12504)

[Tutorial on power analysis in LMM](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6646942/)

[Sample size estimation tool for between group design](https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/25152459211054059)

* What effect size parameter fits a mixed model?
  + Power analysis and effect size in mixed effect models: a tutorial
  + Statistical Power and Optimal Design in Experiments in Which Samples of Participants Respond to Samples of Stimuli
  + <https://www.ssc.wisc.edu/sscc/pubs/MM/MM_TestEffects.html>
  + A practical guide to calculating Cohen’s f2, a measure of local effect size
* Do you need multiple datapoints in order to use subject as a random factor?
  + <https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/65371/mixed-model-with-1-observation-per-level>
  + <https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/242821/how-will-random-effects-with-only-1-observation-affect-a-generalized-linear-mixe>

This is a description of non-parametric power analysis:

To estimate the required sample size for receiving a power of 0.8 we used resampling of the data.

We sampled with replacement *n* subjects out of the *N* = 20 available subjects from the pilot study, and calculated the effect size and *p-value* on that sample. This was done 104 times for each sample size from 1 to 20, and the percent of significant *p-values* was computed for each sample size. This estimate was used as power.

#### Parametric power estimation

### Exclusion criteria

@@ Add exclusion criteria after adding the keyboard experiment @@

The following trials will be excluded:

1. Trials in which there was a technical malfunction with the setup or recording:
   1. Over 100ms of missing samples in the trajectory.
   2. Less than 100ms of existing samples in the trajectory.
   3. Stimuli presentation time deviated from desired duration by more than 2ms.
   4. No response was given /recorded via the keyboard.
2. Trials in which the subject provided a problematic response:
   1. Short reach distance: the reach on the *Z* axis is shorter than the distance between the starting point and the screen minus the finger size.
   2. Missed both targets: touch point is outside a 12cm radius circle surrounding each target laying flat on the screen.
   3. When reaching, moved too early (less than 100ms after target display, implying a planned response), too late (more than 320ms after target was displayed) or too slow (time to reach the screen from movement onset was longer than 420ms).
   4. Keyboard press was too early (less than 200ms after target), or too late (more than 4000ms after target).
   5. Wrong answer in classifying the target. Cognitive processes on correct and incorrect answers may be different. @@ Find a citation for this or ask Craig @@

The following subjects will be excluded:

~~During data collection:~~

1. ~~Who failed to respond within the time constraints on at least 30% of the trails on the training day. This implies the subject won't be able to handle the required response times on the test day, and thus he will be disqualified. @@ Add this criterion to the analysis (Put a threshold of 30% in code) (Insert the checkDay1 to the tests or something to make sure you run it after every subjects) @@~~

After data collection:

1. Who has less than 30 valid (that weren't excluded) trials in each level of the congruency IV.
2. Who failed to classify the target correctly on at least 70% of the trials (verified using a binomial test).
3. Who's answer at recognizing the prime are found to be better than chance level in a binomial test.

### Procedure

#### Apparatus

The stimulus is displayed on a VPIXX monitor (VIEWPixx /3D Lite LCD display and data acquisition system, version 3.7.6287) using Matlab 2018b @@ Cite @@ and Psychtoolbox @@ version?@@ @@ Cite @@. The monitor is used with full brightness at a resolution of 1920 x 1080 and refresh rate of 100Hz with VPIXX's "Scanning backlight" feature turned on which synchronizes the stimuli display to the screen's refresh rate. A Perspex cover is placed over the screen to protect it when participants are reaching for it. The cover was spray painted with a light layer of transparent matte lacquer to avoid reflections. The subjects sit approximately 60 cm from the screen and place their finger on a marked starting point which is located on the table 35cm away from the screen, in line with its center. The stimuli is displayed 24cm above the table and the calssification answers will be displayed 10 cm to the left and to the right of it. Subjects wear a Velcro ring on their index finger to which a reflective marker is attached. A system of 6 OptiTrack Flex 13 cameras @@ cite @@ tracks the marker's location using Motive 2.2.0 software @@ cite @@ at a sampling rate of 120Hz. The coordinates are broadcasted online to a NatNet client @@ cite @@ on the experimental computer and recorded with Matlab.

#### Materials and stimuli

**Stimuli Selection**

Sixty 4-letter Hebrew words will be used as targets on the training day of the experiment, and one hundred 5-letter words will be used as primes and targets on the test day. All words will be imageable nouns with a frequency of at least 10 per million (Cite: <http://word-freq.mscc.huji.ac.il/>). Half will describe artificial products (e.g. radio, train) and half natural items (e.g. fruit). Target words will be written in typescript while prime words will be written in handwriting font. Masks will be composed of a semi-random combination of squares and diamonds whose line thickness is equal to the word's font size and will cover the central area of the screen where words can appear (approximately ).

Hebrew letters in typescript and handwriting:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| א | ב | ג | ד | ה | ו | ז | ח | ט | י | כ | ך | ל | מ | ם | נ | ן | ס | ע | פ | ף | צ | ץ | ק | ר | ש | ת |
| א | ב | ג | ד | ה | ו | ז | ח | ט | י | כ | ך | ל | מ | ם | נ | ן | ס | ע | פ | ף | צ | ץ | ק | ר | ש | ת |

**Trial Lists Generation**

The order of the stimuli will be taken from a list of 10 stimuli sequences called "Trial sequences". One sequence will be randomly sampled (without replacement) for every participant. Once all sequences were selected (after 10 subjects), the list will be refilled with the original sequences.

The order of the words will be random with the following constraints:

Each word will be used as a target in the same frequency in the congruent and incongruent conditions.

All words will be used as targets the same number of times.

In the congruent condition an identical prime will assigned to the target word.

In the incongruent condition a prime from the alternative category (artificial/natural) which doesn't share letters in common locations with the target will be selected.

For example, "phone" would be preceded by "PHONE" in the congruent condition, but by "GRASS" in the incongruent condition.

Each prime will be paired with a random distractor to be used in the prime recognition task. The distractor will be from the same category but will share no letters in common locations with the prime.

**Target Calssification**

Subjects will classify the target word as describing a natural / artificial item by selecting the side of the screen with the appropriate category. "Motion" group will use reaching to do so while "Keyboard" group will use "F"/"J" keys.

**Prime Recognition**

An objective measure of prime awareness. Subjects will have to identify the prime in 2 forced choice task between the prime word and a distractor word. "Motion" subjects will respond by reaching the chosen answer, while "Keyboard" subjects will press "F"/"J" to choose the word on the left/right side of the screen.

**Perceptual Awareness Scale**

A Subjective measure of prime awareness. Subjects will use the keyboard numbers 1-4 to rate how well did they see the prime from 1 ("didn't see anything") to 4 ("Saw the prime clearly").

#### Procedure

The experiment will be held in two sessions in two consecutive days, the first day is a training day and the second is the test day, their duration is approximately 30min and 90 minutes accordingly.

Subjects will be randomly assigned to a "Motion" / "Keyboard" group, each will use a different measure to respond on the tasks. "Keyboard" group will place their index fingers on "F" and "J" keys and use them to select an answer on the left/right half of the screen accordingly. "Motion" group will wear a reflective marker on their right index finger and use it to reach the screen and touch the answer they choose. After each response they will return their finger to the starting point, thereupon the next task will begin.

**Training day**

The first day consists of target classification trials, each trial will begin with a fixation cross (1000ms) followed by a sequence of three masks (270ms, 30ms, 30ms) and finally a target (500ms). Then, subjects will determine as fast as they can if the target describes an artificial or natural item. The subjects will perform 240 such trials, divided to 6 blocks of 40 trials each, and will be given a break between each block.

**Test day**

The second day will contain 2 practice blocks and 12 test blocks. Both practice blocks will contain the same 5-letter words but in a different order.

The second day will start with a response speed training block (40 trials) in which each trial will consist of a fixation cross (1000 ms), 1st mask (270 ms), 2nd mask (30 ms), 3rd mask (30 ms) and a target (500 ms). Once the target is displayed the subject is required to classify it as natural / artificial within the time constraints. "Motion" group is bound to onset time and movement time constraints. Onset time count starts from the target's onset until the subject's finger is 1 cm from the starting point (Euclidean distance), it has to be longer than 100 ms to prevent predictive movements but shorter than 320 ms. Movement time starts once the finger leaves the starting point and ends when the subject is 1.5 cm (on the Z axis) from the screen, it has to be shorter than 420 ms. "Keyboard" group has to reply within a time window of 250-4000ms from target display.

Next subject will take a second training block (40 trials), in which a prime word will be induced for 30 ms between the 2nd and the 3rd masks. In this block after Classifying the targets, the subjects will be asked to recognize the prime. The prime and the distractor will be assigned randomly to each side of the screen. "Motion" group will respond by reaching the correct answer while "Keyboard" group will select it with "F" / "J" keys. A 7 seconds response window was selected to reduce the amount of recorded trajectory data. Then the subjects will rate the prime visibility using a PAS scale and the response keys 1-4.

Subsequently, subjects will perform 12 test blocks (40 trials each), which will be identical to the second training block except for the stimuli to be used, which will follow the selected "trial sequence".

Finally, the subjects will debriefed about the experiment and perform a 5-minutes personality questionnaire designated for a future experiment in the lab.

In the classification task, a notification will be given if subjects provided a wrong answer, started moving too early (Onset time < 100ms) or too late (Onset time > 320 ms), or moved too slowly (movement time > 420 ms). "Keyboard" Subjects will be prompted if they responded too late (>740ms) @@ What is the correct timing limitation @@

## Analysis plan

### Trajectory preprocessing

@@@@ describe the entire process @@@@

### Dependent variables extraction

@@@@Describe how do you calculate and produce each dependent variable. @@@@

### Confirmatory analysis

[How to use](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256097211_Linear_models_and_linear_mixed_effects_models_in_R_with_linguistic_applications) LMM (assumptions are found in the end).

IV:

1. Congruency: A within subject variable of two levels:
   1. Congruent: prime and target are the same word.
   2. Incongruent: Prime and target are from a different semantic category and do not share letters in common locations.
2. Item type: A within subject variable of two levels:
   1. Natural: target and / or prime represent a natural item (e.g. "Plant", "Cloud").
   2. Artificial: target and / or prime represent an artificial product (e.g. "Radio", "Phone").
3. Response measure: A between subject variable of two levels:
   1. Motion tracking: Subjects choose the correct answer on by reaching and touching it on the screen.
   2. Key press response: Subjects choose the answer on the left / right half of the screen by pressing "F" / "J" accordingly.

The significance of the fixed effect of congruency and response measure on each of the dependent variables will be tested.

Random:

1. Subject – subject will be used as a random effect.

DP:

1. Area under the curve (AUC): Area between the actual trajectory and the optimal path (a straight line connecting the start and end points). Area central to the optimal path is considered positive, while area lateral to it is considered negative. The area is evaluated for each trial separately.
2. Reach area: Area between the average path to a left target and an average path to a right target in a single condition (congruent / incongruent). Path is averaged across trials in a single condition.
3. Response time: The time it takes a subject to classify the target as natural / artificial, measured from target display until keyboard response.
4. MAD @@@@@@@@@@@@describe it@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
5. X-position @@@@@@@@@@ describe it @@@@@@@@@@@@@@

@@@@ Mention the fact that you are not planning on testing the recognition results for "congruent" trials @@@@

@@@@ Describe the entire analysis plan, what comparisons you make. Basically just describe your code. @@@@

## @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

## @@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

## Trajectory Preprocessing

All preprocessing was done according to Gallivan, J. P., & Chapman, C. S. (2014). Three-dimensional reach trajectories

### Fill Missing Data

Applies only to trajectories that have more than 1 sample.

Replaces NaNs with interpolated values.

Uses inpaint\_nans to do so.

**Inpaint\_nans**:

Written by John D'Errico.

Citation:

John D'Errico (2022). inpaint\_nans (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/4551-inpaint\_nans), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved February 15, 2022.

Is a advanced method for interpolating trajectory data while keeping the dynamic information of the reach.

Was used by Gallivan, J. P., & Chapman, C. S. (2014). Three-dimensional reach trajectories

### Filter Trajectory

Applies only to trajectories that have more than 1 sample.

Apply low pass butter worth filter of 2nd order with cutoff at 8Hz on each axis separately to clean any noise in the recording.

Since the noise is canceled, we can later overfit the data without concern for capturing noise with our fit (Using Functional Data Analysis\_v1\_april2011).

### Set Origin

Set the first sample in each trial as the axes origin by reducing it from each sample.

Set the time of the first sample in each trial as t=0 by reducing it from each sample.

### Trim Onset Offset

Applies only to trajectories that have more than 1 sample.

Compute velocity and apply low pass butter worth filter of 2nd order to it with a 10Hz cutoff.

**GetOnset:**

Trim trajectory to the first sample in which the 3 consecutive samples had a velocity greater than 2mm/s and a total acceleration greater than 2mm/s^2.

**GetOffset:**

Trim trajectory ending as the point closest to the screen.

### Normalize

We wish to compare the X coordinates between trials. To do so the Z index must be equal between trials.

We achieve that by fitting a function to the data and extracting 200 points that are equally spaced along the Z trajectory.

Applies only to trajectories that have more than 2 samples.

toNormalize = I think this is the marker you wish to normalize (it is an input to the normalization function).

**B-spline –** is a function composed of sections (splines), each section is a separate polynomial function.

Degree – the highest order of the polynomials.

Knot – the point where two splines connect.

Knots = coefficients + degree.

B – basis functions. A list of functions that are used to create each of the spline functions.

Cubic spline – spline function where all the polynomials are of the 3rd degree.

C – Continuity, a property of a spline indicating how smooth it is.

**Roughness penalty –** A parameterthat is added to the spline function and determines how

much emphasis to put on smoothness (as opposed to overfitting the data).

This type of spline is called a "Smoothing spline".

Error function (which we try to minimize when fitting the spline):

Text, whiteboard

Description automatically generated

– penalty factor, determines how much weight will be given to smoothness as opposed to

overfitting the data.

~~Chart, diagram

Description automatically generated~~

More information about roughness penalty might be in these links:

[Mathlab's cubic spline function](https://www.mathworks.com/help/curvefit/csaps.html#d123e27998)

[Article about functional data analysis](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227578062_Functional_Data_Analysis)

[R Function for fitting splines](https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-0-387-98185-7_5.pdf)

Fit a B-spline function to the each axis with a spline at each data point. The B-spline is of the 6th order which keeps the original data up to the 3rd derivative (Using Functional Data Analysis\_v1\_april2011).

A roughness penalty is applied to the 4th derivative of the data to smooth the data.

Use the fitted function to produce a high-resolution representation of the trajectory (1000 samples).

Perform the normalization in space by extracting 200 points equally spaced along the Z axis (perpendicular to the screen) from the high-resolution representations.

Since the subject can move backwards the movement is divided to sections according to the movement direction (towards / away from the screen). The 200 points are equally divided along the TOTAL path traveled in the Z axis (if the subject moved 2 forward, 1 backward and 3 forward, the total is 6).

## Trial screening

Test the original data (before preprocessing) and excludes trials that fall within the following criteria:

* Too early – movement initiation started lees than 100ms after target was displayed indicating a predictive response.
* Late response – movement didn't start 320ms after target display.
* Extremely slow movement – movement time was 3SD above the subject's mean, or simply above a preset threshold.
* Short reach distance – distance along the Z axis between first and last sample was shorter than 32cm. Should this be done on the processed traj?
* Too much missing samples – trajectory has more than 100ms of missing samples (usually due to recording issues or obstruction of the marker from the camera's view).
* Short sample – Recorded trajectory is shorter than 100ms.
* Missed targets – The last sample is further than 12cm from either of the targets (on the X,Y plane).
* Bad stimulus duration – the duration of one of the stimuli (fixation, masks, prime, target) deviated by more than 2ms from its designated duration.
* Incorrect – target classification was wrong.
* Subject didn't perform the trials (quit)

## Subject screening

Excludes subjects that fall withing the following criteria:

* Not enough trials – The number of valid trials which also had a PAS rating of 1 is smaller than 60.
* Not enough trials in each condition – The number of valid trials in each condition (congruent /

incongruent) which also had a PAS rating of 1 is smaller than 30.

* Bad performance – subject was at chance level in the target classification task (counts only trials with PAS=1).
* Aware of prime – prime recognition was above chance indicating the subject is aware of the prime.

## Parameters extraction

### MAD

Maximal absolute deviation.

Locates for each trial the point that is furthest away from the line that connects the start and end points (known as the maximally deviating point, mad\_p).

MAD is the distance of that point from that line.

### Functional data analysis

getRMMeans – calculates the mean trajectory for each subject at each condition.

Fanovan – runs a repeated measures aNOVA analysis at every point along the trajectory.

For an explanation why this doesn't violate multiple comparisons limitations see Craig's document " Using Functional Data Analysis\_v1\_april2011.pdf" section: 5.2 – Statistical violation and functional interpretation.

Main point is that we don't conclude the diff along the whole trajectory is significant from seeing that a single comparison was significant, we just conclude that the difference at that point is significant.

Subject and left/right are used as random factors.

### Reach area calculation

Calculates the area circumscribed between the average trajectory to the left target and the average trajectory to the right target.

Does so for each condition separately.

Area calculation:

~~Trim one trajectory's Z values to be within the range of the other trajectory.~~ Isn't relevant since they share Z values.

Finds the minimal X value and draws a line at that value parallel to Z axis.

Computes the area between each trajectory and this line and subtracts the results, thus receiving the area between the trajectories.

To avoid negative area values after the trajectories intersect, they are split to section at their intersections and the area is calculated separately for each section.

## Averaging within subject

Averages trials withing each participant, excluding invalid trials or trials whose PAS isn't 1.

Averages:

* Trajectories
* Response time
* Reaction time
* Movement time
* Prime recognition – Includes most invalid trials, \*Excludes only\* unperformed trials or trials with bad

stimuli duration.

* MAD
* Maximally deviating point.
* Total STD of the X coordinates – collapses the STD across time.

Computes:

* STD (between trials) of the x coordinates at each point along Z.
* Number of trials with each PAS rating.
* Difference between average trajectory in the congruent and incongruent conditions.

## Sorting and averaging between subjects

Averages the following values (after excluding the bad subjects):

* Trajectory
* RT
* Reaction time
* Movement time
* Prime recognition
* Number of trials with each PAS rating.
* MAD
* Maximally deviating point.
* Reach area
* STD of the x coordinates at each point along Z.
* Total STD of X

## Formatting to R

### Reach area

Define 'M', which is the minimal amount of valid trials any subject has in a single condition.

For each subject we compute 1000 average left and right trajectories for each condition by randomly sampling (with replacement) 'M' trials and averaging them.

We use these average trajectories to compute 1000 reach areas for each subject in each condition.

## Modeling

### Diff in X value between conditions along the trajectory

We computed the difference between each subject's average congruent and incongruent trajectories in the "averaging within subject" section (Did so for left and right sides separately).

We now plot the average difference and it's confidence interval, this gives us a "t-test" along time. We look if at any point in time the difference is significantly greater than zero.

Chart

Description automatically generated

Chart, surface chart

Description automatically generated

### Reach Area

We previously generated 1000 reach area observations for each subject.

We enter all these observations from all the subject into a LMM with condition as the predictor, reach area as the predicted, and subject as a random factor.

We then compare it to an "empty" model that contains only an intercept and subjects as a random factor.

Text

Description automatically generated with low confidence

### MAD

Model MAD as a function of condition with subjects as a random factor.

Use actual values for each subject, not the average MAD.

A screenshot of a computer

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

### X Deviation

Model X position as a function of condition with subjects as a random factor.

Does so for each point along the trajectory.

A screen shot of a computer

Description automatically generated with low confidence